

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)	
PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <p style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>Other</p> <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case) </div> </div>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	
Other Relief Sought		

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 783-8300
Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <u>et al.</u> ,) Chapter 11
)
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
)
)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.) Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
LIQUIDATING TRUST)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
MITAC USA, INC. D/B/A MIO)
TECHNOLOGY USA, LTD.,)
)
Defendant.)

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER
PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE
ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 230 AND 2138**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan") for his complaint and for his objection to proof of claim numbers 230 and 2138 (the "Complaint") against MiTac USA, Inc. d/b/a Mio Technology USA, Ltd. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant's proofs of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”)¹ were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, defendant MiTac USA, Inc. d/b/a Mio Technology USA, Ltd. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Fremont, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSSstuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

9. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors’ Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors’ assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the “Effective Date”).

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

16. On or about August 24, 2006, Circuit City and Defendant entered into an agreement pursuant to which Defendant sold goods to Circuit city (the “Agreement”).

17. During the course of the parties’ relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement, that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the “Defendant’s Agreements”).

18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date, and thereafter, under the Defendant’s Agreements.

19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors’ business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the “Books and Records”).

C. Amounts Allegedly Owed To Defendant And Defendant’s and Defendant’s Proofs Of Claim

(1) Claim Nos. 230 and 2138

20. On or about November 28, 2008, Defendant filed an administrative priority claim in the amount \$296,100.00 (the “Administrative Claim”). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated the Administrative Claim as claim No. 230.

21. The Administrative Claim was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.

22. On or about December 30, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$1,577,425.26 which was asserted as a general unsecured non-priority claim, and subsequently reduced to \$1,281,325.26 pursuant to the Debtors' Thirty-Four Omnibus Objection and order thereon (the "General Unsecured Claim"). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated the General Unsecured Claim as claim No. 2138.

23. The General Unsecured Claim was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.

D. Amounts Owed To Circuit City By Defendant

24. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

Chargebacks	\$135,486.50
Billbacks	\$53,481.06
Returns	\$14,119.94
<u>TOTAL</u>	\$203,087.50

25. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

26. Circuit City has made demand for the Unpaid Obligations, but Defendant has not complied, and has not paid any portion of the Unpaid Obligations.

E. Transfers To Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior To The Petition Date

27. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$256,312.92. A list identifying each and every transfer

during the Preference Period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the “Preferential Transfers”).

28. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

COUNT I

AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

29. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

30. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.

31. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.

32. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.

33. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.

34. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.

35. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii)

Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

36. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

37. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein

38. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.

39. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

40. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III

BREACH OF CONTRACT

41. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

42. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations.

43. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.

44. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.

45. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$203,087.50 constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.

46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

47. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

COUNT IV

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

48. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

49. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.

50. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant Agreements.

51. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.

52. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$203,087.50 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.

53. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

54. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.

55. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

COUNT V

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

56. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

57. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.

58. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

59. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.

60. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.

61. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.

62. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.

63. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$203,087.50.

COUNT VI

OBJECTION TO CLAIMS 230 AND 2138 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

64. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

65. Claim Nos. 230 and 2138 assert a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.

66. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

67. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim Nos. 230 and 2138 must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

COUNT VII

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 230 AND 2138 AS UNWARRANTED/OVERSTATED

68. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

69. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim Nos. 230 and 2138 are unwarranted/overstated, Claim No. 230 by \$630.00 and Claim No. 2138 by \$42,133.20. In particular, Trustee has determined that Claim Nos. 230 and 2138 fail to account for reconciling adjustments and/or provide proper credits to Circuit City.

70. As a result, Defendant's Claim No. 230 should be reduced by \$630.00 and Defendant's Claim No. 2138 should be reduced by \$42,133.20.

COUNT VIII

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 230 – SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS

71. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

72. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count VIII.

73. The Unpaid Obligations arose from business transactions between the Debtors and Defendant.

74. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendant to the Debtors.

75. Claim No. 230 arose from business transactions between Defendant and the Debtors, and is a debt allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendant.

76. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.

77. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.

78. Under applicable law, the Unpaid Obligations should be setoff first against Claim Nos. 230.

79. After setoff, Claim No. 230 should be reduced to \$92,382.50.

80. After setoff, the Unpaid Obligations should be reduced to \$0 (the “Remaining Unpaid Obligations”).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$256,312.92; and

ii. Pursuant to Count III, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$203,087.50; and

iii. In the alternative to Count III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award the Trustee restitution damages on account of Defendant’s unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$203,087.50; and

iv. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, pursuant to Count V, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$203,087.50; and

v. Pursuant to Count VI, disallow Claim Nos. 230 and 2138 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and

vi. Pursuant to Count VII, reduce Claim No. 230 from the overstated amount of \$296,100.00 to the proper amount of \$295,470.00, and reduce Claim No. 2138 from the overstated amount of \$1,281,325.26 to the proper amount of \$1,239,192.06; and

vii. In the alternative to Counts III, IV, and V, pursuant to Count VIII, authorize the Trustee to effect a setoff of the Unpaid Obligations against Claim No. 230, reducing Claim No. 230 to the proper amount of \$92,382.50; and

viii. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate; and

ix. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and

x. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
November 4, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Paula S. Beran

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
(310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number	Paid Amount
MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD	8/28/2008	1034128	\$ 40,063.98
MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD	10/15/2008	1036561	\$ 100,117.94
MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD	10/22/2008	1036890	\$ 43,209.00
MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD	10/24/2008	1036998	\$ 44,730.00
MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD	11/5/2008	1037189	\$ 28,192.00

Total Payment

Amount \$ 256,312.92